App. F. IX-4

Original

1935.

File No. 243-35

Previous References

Serial Nos. 3, 4, 9, 16, 19, 24

Mysore 2562-33 (1-5)
" 13-29 (34, 69-70).

Letter References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Nil.

Part B for February 1935.

SUBJECT.

R.A. Subject re the levy of a tax of 3 pies on each coconut offered in the temple at Mudakatore, T. Narsipur taluk.

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—13-8 37

M. Gruger

GOVERNMENT OF [illegible] THE [illegible]



Office of th[illegible]
Mysore Representative Assembly,
Bangalore, Dated 26[illegible] 1937.

4319-27/Eccls.
R.H.

OFFICIAL MEMO.

The accompanying consolidated statement of promises made by Government at the meeting of the Representative Assembly held in October 1934, June and October 1935, and June and October 1936 is forwarded to the ~~Under~~ Assistant Secretary Branch for favour of necessary action and intimation thereof to this office by 1st June 1937.

Secretary,
Mysore Representative Assembly.

R. Ft. Services / 1934-35 / 2.

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | Inward | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Re

Serials 4/3 and 5/4 in the list of subjects put up below, relate to Munipur and Dept. Serial 4/3 has been disposed of finally. Regarding Serial 5/4, the further report promised by the H.C is awaited and he has been reminded on 26.4.37 and 26.5.37 in the matter.

(a bunch of files)

27/5

Secs A 13/6/37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

14/6

WP 0417--GPB—20,000 - 8-9-36

1/6

R. Ft. Notice for Under Secretary

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

R.A. Interpn re. levy of 3 p's on each Cocoanut Offered in Temples

General and Revenue Secretariat — Hy File No. 243 of 1935 — [App F. III—2

Serial No. 4

Forward copy of 3 for report and draft reply

Submd for perusal 27/4/36

Gen: Hy

Dup 28/4 28/4/36

27 APR. 1936

No 3417-26/R.A dated 4-5-36 from The Secretary R.A & d.C. forwarding a Memo of Disallowance relating to Q 1 re: levy of 8 p's fee on Cocoanuts Offered in Temples at Tadepalle.

This interpellation will be filed, if approved, after perusal and the M.C.'s intimation of the disallowance

6 Subs for draft reply

7 only clause (a) of the interpellation has been disallowed.

5.5.36.

Issue 5/5/36

TYPING SECTION. 8. MAY 1936 GENL. & R. SECT.

9 Clause (a) of the interpellation has been disallowed and the draft reply sent by the M.C to it, need not be approved.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

11 (b) to (f)
The other clauses, may be renumbered
and the draft Replies thereto ~~may be~~ are
~~submitted herewith~~ submitted for approval

" Submitted for orders

13 MAY 1936
427

BBS 13/5 — the draft reply received from the Nizam's Govt. is submitted for approval with a slight modification to improve the language of the draft.

BBS 13.5.36. (Gen. Secy.) 12 SW 13/5 Secy 13/5/36

(First Member) 13 JPC/SW Secy 14/5

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER (Judicial)
14 MAY 1936
4359

14 Typing Section for kindly putting up 4 fair copies of the draft approved

J

TYPING SECTION, GENL & R. SECTT. 15 MAY 1936

BBS 15/5 — 15 R. A. Office W.C for kindly taking 3 fair copies putups

BBS 15/5 — 16 3 Copies taken
BBS.
15.5.36

G.R.S. III—3]
R. A. & L. C.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

17

Replies to ~~que~~ question no. 1 (no. 7 in the finally printed list) are incomplete as ~~but~~ no replies are received to sub-division (a) of the printed list. The concession may be kindly ~~replies~~ and the revised reply be sent immediately

being typed. The Branch file is also ~~sent~~ sent to the Mufassal Branch for forwarding

22.5.36

Clause (a) of the interpretation has been disallowed. (Vide G.O. on the subject).
25.5.36.

The reply for the portion of clause (a) not disallowed may be as suggested by the Mufassil Commr

R.A. Section)

25/5/36

Typed Copies of reply to clause (a) taken
25/5/36.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]
R A. & L. C

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Serial No.

(1) This question has answered at the Session, and certain Supplementary Questions have just which were also answered

(2) to the R.A. Office with compliments for kindly putting up a copy of the proceedings of the R.A. relating to the question.

BJS 15/6 GS 15/6

Extract put up, please.

FW 25.7.36. Sorry, Q with comments

Q 24/7

(4) Subject for perusal A copy of the proceedings will be sent to the H.C. for necessary further action if appl.

BJS 24.7.36. 24/7

yes DCG 24/7/36

Subject with a draft to the H.C.

BJS 25.7.36. 24/7

Issue DCG 25/7/36.

TYPING SECTION 25 JUL. 1936 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

16

Note—Contn. Sheet.

25/7/36

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Subd with a draft to the D.C
4 issue
19/8/36

19/8/36

[illegible] 1936
GEN. & REV. SECTT.

25.9.36

Letter No C 1432/351-36 dt 11.1.37
from the H.C in reply
Furnishes a report on the
action taken by him in the matter.

The report is subd for perusal.
The H.C has stated that he has requested
the D.C. Mysore to continue both the
Gadakas and the levy of cocoanut fee
the contribution to the Sweepers being purely

Serial No.

optional and the latter being compulsory, pending investigation by the D.C. of the alleged right of the Thamvadies to the collections from the Gpalaka. The H.C has also approved the proposal to sell the right of tobying fees on cocoanuts by public auction, as recommended by the Deputy commissioner.

Submit for orders.

18/1/37

32 The action taken by the H.C may be approved.

19/1/-

(Gen Secy) 23/1/37

33 Submit with a draft G.O. accordingly

24/1

24/1

25/1/-

26.1.37

2/6/1/-

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
19 JAN. 1937
526

27. JAN 1937
GENERAL SECRETARIAT

File No. 2(c) 7 of 1935. General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

To Sir R. A. Upton for kindly taking a copy of the G.O. noted below and to return the file.

BOT 4.2.37.

3rd Copy of the GO taken & the file returned 3rd May March)

4/2

Letter no C 1432/35-36 & 23.11.1937 from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting a report on the claim of Thammadis of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Mudukthore for the recalcitrations from Gollas for a specified period.

35) The petition of Thammadis of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Mudukthore in a statement before the Tahsildar of

OF THE SECRETARIAT
2 MAR 1937
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY AND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

S.3 1
5/4/37

Serial No.

15/5/39, say that they have produced Copy of the original order of 1849 issued by Major Montgomery giving a leasehold right to them for the collection of Gorakha during the "Thepotavanam time". This is not found among the records received - A careful search was also made to find out the connected papers of any here; attempts have proved futile -

On the other hand, the

x 4. Thanmandar have furnished Copy of "Jamma" Register of the Talaku Taluk Office — 1878-79 - wherein reference to an order No 46 of 12th June — A copy of this Cancn - of Ashtagram Divn" — this latter order is not found in the records sent by the Amarpore Court for 1848. A search was also made in Sadr Records with the help of "Jamma" & "To" Registers of the period - No mention is made therein of anything pertaining to the Cancn of Sri Mallikarjuna Swami at Muduk Tore - T. A. manager

4 (1) further the Copy received of the petn pertains to a private [illegible] No Inam Register [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-3-37

Mad. File No. 26 3 of 1935. | General and Revenue Secretariat

The Scale of Establishment was prescribed [illegible] ; (vide the Scale Chart appd by the M.C. on 19/2/29 Mad ab) - The pay of a Thanadar is 8 Rs p.m ; it was not so in those days viz 1898 & in years previous to.

(ii) When attempts were being made to find out the details of any here on the Subject, many Superintendent's Office file of 1893-94 pertaining to the abolishment of Sevai Tax Collection by these Thanadars was found out ; it is put up for ref. The Sevai Tax Collections & Kanikai form part of the miscellaneous source of income to the Govt. In what was being appropriated by the Thanadars in those days is now coming under the head of regular income of the Temple as they are paid regular salaries.

(iii) To augment the income of many Temples instructions were issued by the M.C. for opening in Coromandel Coast in places devoid of such Charity boxes ; the action taken by the M.C. has been recorded in G.O No 1579-80/Misc 13-29-30 dt 24/1/30

Serial No.

(a) Mug 282-33-A relating to the claim preferred by the archak of Sri Anjaneya Swami at Kulbagal for certain Madanpur Kanike's sent by one Hutchanna of Adikanalaka Community as a devotee of the temple.

(b) The claim of the archak has been negatived (Vide minute of the member of 24-9-34 in para 15 of Mug 282-33-A)

(c) Golka realisations form the property of the Institution and it is not open to any archak to claim.

xy (d) The copies of the Farm" Regr maintained in the Dt Mug. Offices Mysore goes to show as if the Thammadi has a right to the Golka realisation only at the time of Theppotsava of the deity; & this alleged right has been extended to Pavalada Pandhe. (Vide P.U. Dt 18 enclosure - D.C's Cumm.ns of 7-6-37). It is clear from the papers now received that the subordinate Mug. Officers attended to see the original order of 1864 & that all have failed in the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-8-37

Thanmandis of Mudukthore
Imputed claim of a right to Gokka
Collections during the Pagoda

File No. 2443 of 1935. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Mr. Grey

Mr. Grey on the City produced by the Thanmandi & supported by the S.D.O. & upheld by the J. of the Peace. In the absence of the original records it is not possible to say what has happened to this claim later on as in the case of Savartha Collections rejected to abeyance. In no institution as the Pr. is aware of the asshak is given a right to Gokka Collections even for a short interval. It is respectfully held that the claim is a novel one. In the circumstances it is a matter for consideration whether the proposal of the M.C. is acceptable in view of the Thanmandis not being able to produce the order of 1845 & the order of the Ashtagram Divn. not being found in the Records Volumes & the 10 "L" "Ham" Regns here relating to the period.

Y

Ys 29/11 The extract from the "Gorgon" register giving a summary of the orders of Major Montgomery, Comr. of Ashtagram Divn. given the

Claim of Thammadis of Madukkoor temple to the realization from the "golaka" on certain occasions.

Serial No.

permits the Thammadis to take the collection realized in the "golaka" in accordance with the usual custom or "mamool". It does not give the Thammadis a hereditary right to such collections, as stated by the Madurai Comr. G. O. No. 2165-74 dated 28th Janv. 1904 lays down that receipts of Madurai institutions including Hundies (which includes Kanike or contribution dropped into the "golaka" should be credited to the funds of the institutions concerned. (Vide pages 307-308 of the Madurai manual). The order of the Comr. of Board's division referred to above has been superseded by the G. O. of 28th Janv. 1904 and as Major Montgomery's order does not state expressly that the Thammadis have been given a hereditary right to the golaka realization from during the period

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13 S 37

Claim of Thavamadies of Mundakkan Temple to the realization from "golaka" on certain occasions.

Mysg. File No. 243 of 1937.

General and Revenue Secretariat

point for consideration whether the claim of the Thavamadis to the collections from the "golaka" during the period can be said to have been established and whether Govt. are not at liberty to stop the practice of allowing them to take the collections from "golaka" under the G.O. of 28th G.O. of 1904 as no hereditary claim to the collections has been established. ~~such claim is also~~ ~~in the file~~ Further there is nothing to show that Thavamadis have a hereditary right to hold their posts as in the case of Pujaris of temple who have a hereditary rights to such posts,

29.11.37 From the report & the details of the records received, it is seen that the right to take the golaka collections during the festival period has vested in the Thavamadis from about 1824 & this right has been recognised in several orders subsequently. In these circumstances, the recommendation of the Mr.

Serial No.

GENERAL SECRETARY
30 NOV. 1937
3009

We fully concur & recommend this view as seems reasonable & may therefore be allowed

Gen: Secy
30/11

50) The view of the M.C. that the Thammadis may be allowed to take the collections in the Golakas may be accepted.

It should however be made clear that dropping Kanike with the Golakas is optional. The Thammadis are likely to put pressure on the devotees to drop some Kanike by delaying those who may not do so and showing preference to those who do. The Thammadasis and Taluk Officers shd be present near the Sannidhis and see that this kind of coercion is not practised.

Mys 17/12/37
Govt 19.11.—

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
DEC 17 1937
No 4086

51) Jagga

SO
30/12
Govt 3/4/38 Govt 3/1/38

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—80,000—13-8-37

Rights & Immunities of Ecclesiastical Corps &c in the Administration of Goa &c

File No. 1/25 of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

52) A draft G.O is put up.

BOS 5.1.38
4/1/38

Lm 6/1/38
gw 8/1/38
Done 10.1.38.

GENERAL SECRETARY
6 JAN. 1938
38/2

998-1000 dated 18.1.38 24
To M.C
" Comptroller
" S.C. of Police

" ... in the Provinces &c" contain ... provisions.

R.A Police for forwarding the ~~above~~ final disposal of the case and to return this file.

BOS 12.2.38.
12/2

Noted & put up.
12/2 Manjrekar
12.2

B 12/2/38

GENL & REV. SECT.
14. FEB. 1938

243-38-3

1170

FORM II.

Memorandum of Interpellations proposed for reply in the ... Budget ..... Session of the Representative Assembly of the Mysore District.

For the ..... Budget ..... *Session of* June 1936. ..... 193 .

Subject.	Interpellation.
Levy of 3 pies-tax on each cocoanut offered in temples.	Will the Government be pleased to state:-
No. 1	(a) Whether it is a fact that there are Golakas during the Rathothsavam in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple at Muduktore, T.Narasipur Taluk? If so, in how many places? Whether it is obligatory to deposit money in the Golakas? Whether the Golakas are the property of Government or of the Archak? Whether this is auctioned or disposed of otherwise by any one? What is the annual collection under this head?
Name of Member Messrs. Patel Sidde Gowda, Hannur, and Veeraraj Urs, T.Narasipur.	(b) Whether it is a fact that Government are recovering 3 pies on each cocoanut offered? What is the income realised under this item and how long since is this in vogue?
	(c) Whether such collection is being made in all the Muzrai temples?
	(d) Whether it is binding that even after payment of three pies per cocoanut the archak should be given either 3 pies on every cocoanut or one of the halves of the cocoanut offered?
	(e) What was the income and expenditure of the above temple during the Jatras in 1934-35?
	(f) Whether the Dharmadarsis are consulted in the matter of the items of expenditure during the Jatras? If so, is it prior to or after the expenditure is incurred?

Subs with a draft calling for information
24/4 24.4.36. 24/4/36

WD 1381—GBPM—500 copies—22-4-30.

TYPING 23 APR 1936 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

No 1493 dt 26.4.36
To
The M.L.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1493/Dy 243-35-4 Dated, Bangalore, 25-4-1936

Enclosure

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General ~~Development~~ Department

To Huzrat
The ~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a copy of an interpellation to be answered at the ensuing session of the Representative Assembly/ ~~Legislative Council in~~ budget in June 1936. I am directed to request you kindly to furnish draft replies to the same before the 7th May 1936, together with a detailed report on the subject so as to facilitate answers to supplemental questions if any that may arise.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
24/4/36
For Secretary to Government.
General ~~Development~~ Department.

24/4

MEMBERS

Messrs
Patel Siddegowda,
Banavar, and
Veeraraj Urs, T. Narsi
-pur

SUBJECT

Levy of 3 Pies - tax on each Cocoanut offered in Temples

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Immediate

No. 306-72/ ENCLS. Six only
R.A.

Office of the Secretary,
Mysore Representative Assembly,
Bangalore, dated 24th April *1936.*

MEMO.

1. The following Resolutions, Questions and Representations received from the Deputy Commissioners of Districts ~~/ the Members representing Minorities and Special Interests~~ for being included in the Agenda for the ensuing Budget ~~/ Dasara~~ Session of the Representative Assembly in June ~~/ October~~ 1936 are forwarded in advance in duplicate to the ~~Assistant Secy. Hqrs.~~ Branch for necessary action.

2. In this connection, kind reference is invited to the following instructions :—

(Vide *O. M. No. 588-95, dated 24—25th August 1925 and O. M. No. 5957-64, dated 15th June 1928.*)

(i) No question will be answered unless a reply is ready before the close of the session. The practice of giving replies to the effect that "information has been called for and will be furnished to the member", etc., and communicating such information on receipt to the member concerned should be discontinued.

(ii) When an interpellation touches on matters relating to more than one Department, a copy or an extract from it, as the case may be, should be sent by the Secretariat concerned to all such Departments for furnishing replies.

3. Should any of the Resolutions, Questions or Representations be disallowed by the President, the same will be intimated in due course. Information regarding officers who will be in charge of Resolutions, if moved, and answers to Questions may kindly be sent on or before the ..... 18.5. ..... 1936, and notes on Representations may be sent not later than ..... 18.5. ..... 1936.

Secretary,
Mysore Representative Assembly.

BVN

From whom received	Resolutions	Questions	Representations
Bangalore District ...		7,	
Kolar „ ...			
Tumkur „ ..			
Mysore „ ...		1,	3,
Hassan „ ...			
Shimoga „ ...			
Kadur „ ...			
Chitaldrug „ ...			
Special Interests or Minorities.			
Total ...		2	1

WD-1694—GPB—300—25-3-36

H.C. Mg 243-35 - 7

1205

The Mysore Representative Assembly
Budget Session - June 1936.

21

Memo of disallowances.

No. 3417-26/R.A. II Dated 4th May 1936.

Reference:- Memo No.3061-72 dated 24th April 1936 forwarding resolutions, questions and representations for the Budget Session of the Representative Assembly in June 1936.

The items noted below, which have been disallowed by the Dewan President, are forwarded to the Mysore Branch for information and necessary action.

13. Bangalore. Q.7 Clauses (4) and (6) to (10) regarding the irregularities committed by the Convenor of the Dharmadarsis of Sri Immadi Venkataramanaswamy Temple at Nagasandra

14. Mysore. Q.1. The third sentence in clause (a) of the question regarding levy of 3 pies tax on each cocoanut offered in temples at Melukote

Secretary, Representative Assembly & Legislative Council.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Revenue

File No. 243-35

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1563

To Executive Engineer

The A.G.P.,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No 1493/Revenue 243-35-4 dated 25-4-36 forwarding for draft replies the interpellation No 1 re: levy of 3 pies-tax on each cocoanut offered in temples at Nedumkore, I am directed to inform you that ~~the~~ clause (a) of the above interpellation has been disallowed. (Vide copy of memo No. E 3417-26 dated 4th May 1936 from Secretary, R.A.)

Date of issue ... 9-5-36 .....

Signed by ... per D. L. Subbarayappa .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by... .....

9/5/36

for Executive Engineer

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 9th May 193 6.

No. 1563/Muz 243-35-9

From Secretariat

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.1493/Muz.243-35 dated 25th April 1936 forwarding for draft replies the interpellation No.1 regarding levy of 3 pies tax on each cocoanut offered in temples at Muduktore, I am directed to inform you that clause (a) of the above interpellation has been disallowed (Vide copy of memo No.3417-26 dated 4th May 1936 sent herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

S.

M.C 13.] No. 1432 35.6 Eva. 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 7th May 1936

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.S.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

-8 MAY 1936

SIR,

Interpellations by Messrs. Patel Siddegowda and Veeraraj Urs at the ensuing Budget Session of the Representative Assembly.

With reference to your letter No.1495/Muz.243-35 dated 25th April 1936 on the above, I have the honor to forward herewith draft replies to the interpellation to be answered at the ensuing session of the Representative Assembly with a copy of the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.1144/35 dated 30th April 1936.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.II.114/35 dated 30-4-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Interpellation No.1 by Messrs. Patel Siddegowda and Veerarai Urs, Budget Session of Representative Assembly.

With reference to your memo No.C.1432 of 35-36 dated 9th April 1935, I have the honor to submit replies on the several points raised in the above interpellation.

(a) Yes. The Golaka is kept in two places, one at Swami Sannidhi and another at Mannavaru Sannidhi. It is not obligatory to deposit money in the golakas.

It is ascertained that the realizations go to the Thasmadies as per Vahivat.

It is being auctioned, but the collections are taken by Thasmadies as stated above. The amount of collections has not been noted at any time,

(b) The answer is in the affirmative. The collections are in vogue from the year 1934-35 and the amount collected is as noted below.

1934-35	Rs 152-5-0
1935-36	" 217-0-9

(c) Yes, the most of the important Muzrai institutions.

(d) The answer is in the negative. It is however the practice that devotees usually offer the Archak either a Kannike or a cocoanut.

(e) The income was Rs 3,627-5-0 and the expenditure Rs 32-0-0.

(f) The answer for the first part is in the negative. The income or expenditure being more or less the same every year, they are considered as routine but the estimates therefore are scrutinised and sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner every year.

II. The Amildar of Nanjangud Taluk reports that in the Srikanteswara-swamy temple at Nanjangud, a fee of 6 pies on each cocoanut offered is collected. But there is no practice of the archak claiming the cocoanut or the half of the cocoanut offered after the fee of xxx pies is collected. The fee is recovered at the entrance of the temple.

III. The Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk reports that in the Venkataramana-swamy temple at Seringapatam and in the Srirangam [illegible] temple a fee of 6 pies and 1 pie, respectively, is being recovered for cocoanut offered. In no temple the archak is demanding or claiming the cocoanut or half of the cocoanut. But, it is the practice in both the temples for the devotees to offer the cocoanut and the archak breaks the cocoanut and returns half of the cocoanut granted to these archaks.

[illegible] reports received from the Collectors of Gurdaspur, Hoshiarpur, Kangra and Ambala are submitted herewith for reference.

Copy"

[illegible]

for Agricultural Commissioner.

The Mysore Representative Assembly,
June 1936.

Interpellation No. 1 by Messrs. Patel Siddamallappa and Veerappa Jr.

Will the Government be pleased to state to-

	Reply.
(a) Whether it is a fact that Government are recovering 3 pies on each cocoanut offered during Rathotsavam in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Muktesvara, T. Narsipur taluk? What is the income realised under this item and how long since is this in vogue?	Yes. The income realised from this item during the year 1934-35 was Rs.198-5-6 and in 1935-36, Rs.217-4-9. The fees have been in force since 1934-35.
(b) Whether such collection is being made in all Muzrai temples?	The levy is confined in all cases where the circumstances of the installation require to be augmented.
(c) Whether it is binding that even after payment of three pies per cocoanut the archak should be given 3 pies on every cocoanut offered or one of the halves of the cocoanut offered?	No
(d) What was the income and expenditure of the above temple during the jatra in 1934-35?	Income- Rs.3,627-5- Expenditure- Rs.872-6-9
(e) Whether the dharmadarsis are consulted in the matter of the items of expenditure during the jatras. If so, is it prior to or after the expenditure is incurred?	No. The expenditure being almost the same however, it is stated that bills are scrutinised and passed by the Deputy Commissioner.

- - - -

For General Secretary to Govt.

Draft Replies to the Interpellation No.1 by Messrs. Patel Siddegowda and Veeraraj Urs.

(a) Whether it is a fact that there are Golakas during the Rathotsavam in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple at Muddukatore, T.Narasipur Taluk.

If so, in how many places.

Whether it is obligatory to deposit money in the Golakas.

Whether the Golakas are the property of Government or archaks.

Whether this is auctioned or disposed of otherwise by any one.

What is the annual collection under the head.

Yes.

In two places one at the Swamy Sannidhi and the other at the Ammanavara Sannidhi.

No. Disallowed

The realisations go for the benefit of the Dharmadies as per rules.

No.

Government have no information.

(during Rathotsavam in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Muddukatore T. Narsipur taluk.)

(b) Whether it is a fact that Government are recovering 3 pies on each cocoanut offered. What is the income realised under this item and how long since is this in vogue.

Yes. The income realized from this item during the year 1934-35 was Rs 152-5-3 and in 1935-36, it was " 217-0-0. Since 1934-35. The fees have been in force since 1934-35.

(c) Whether such collection is being made in all Muzrai temples.

The levy is sanctioned in all cases where the resources of the institution require to be augmented.

(d) Whether it is binding that even after payment of three pies per cocoanut the archak should be given 3 pies on every cocoanut offered or one of the halves of the cocoanut offered.

No.

(e) What was the income and expenditure of the above temple during the jatra in 1934-35.

Income.	Expenditure.
Rs 6,627-5-3	Rs 4-6-4

(f) Whether the dharmadarsis are consulted in the matter of the items of expenditure during the jatras. If so, is it prior to or after the expenditure is incurred.

No, the expenditure being incurred is stated that it is every case. Bills to be submitted and sanctioned by the deputy Commissioner.

PUBLIC OPINION.

13/7 — 23/5/36

Appendix F.G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Jerz

File No. 243-35

Serial No. 16

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 163
Enclosure

To

The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 28.7.36

Signed by Asst. to S.

Sir,

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No 1432/35-6 dated 8-5-36 Regarding Sandalpallakki ~~pulled~~ pushed at the ~~(June 1936)~~ East Gate (June 1935) of the R.A. about Levy of 3 Pies tax on each Cocoanut offered in the temple of Sri Malikarjunaswamy at Mudukkatore I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the proceedings of the R.A on the subject, for your information & necessary action.

I have &c.

Dy. 2577/36

For Secretary

+ To be kindly typed

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

MR. N. VEERARAJ URS.- Why should a levy of 3 pies on each cocoanut be made?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-It is levied usually in all big temples and is intended for supplementing their income.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.-Have any repairs to the temple been effected with the money so far received?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-~~No, we want some more money to accumulate.~~ There should be sufficient collection before money can be spent on works.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.-What is the amount of money now at credit?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-Notice.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.-Why not utilise the available money for some of the urgent works? For example the temple ratha is badly in need of repairs.

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-If specific proposals are made in that behalf, Government will consider them.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.-Why has this mamool of levying 3 pies on each cocoanut not been introduced in temples which have not even a Padithara grant, whereas it has been done in the case of this temple which has a large amount of money at its credit? Will this levy not work as a hardship on the devotees, considering that the Thammadies also have to be paid through the Golakas?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-They are not bound to pay anything into the Golakas.

Mr. N. VEERARAJ URS.-Is it not a fact that devotees are not admitted into the temple unless they put pies into the Golaka?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-No; if it is so, it is wrong. If the matter is reported to the Sub Division Officer, he will set it right.

Mr. N. VENKATARAJ URS.- Why is the ratha left uncared for, though it is possible to repair it with the available funds?

THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER (Mr.K.V. Anantaraman).- The question is being examined by me. The scale of expenditure of the temple has to be fixed first, with reference to the income, and the question of urgent works taken up next.

Mr. N. VENKATARAJ URS.-Are there Dharmadarsis and a convener for the temple?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.-I presume so.

Mr. N. VENKATARAJ URS.-If so, why should they not be consulted in the matter?

Mr. K.V. ANANTARAMAN.-They will be consulted.

Mr. K.L. HANJAPPA NADIG.-In view of the collections made through the Golakas, why not do away with the 3 pies levy on each cocoanut?

Mr. S.P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.-The Muzrai Commissioner will make inquiries into all matters and decide them in consultation with the Dharmadarsis.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No 451-53/Ex-Assembly

Office of the Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative Council,

Bangalore, dated....15th..August 1936.

FROM T.C. Subrahmanya Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative Council
Bangalore

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
179
18 AUG 1936
MUZRAI BRANCH

NERA
17 AUG

To
M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith, for necessary action, extracts of promises made by Government during the first two days of the meeting of the Representative Assembly held in June 1936 and to request that action taken thereon may kindly be intimated to this office on or before 1st October 1936.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient sergant,

Secretary,
Mysore Representative Assembly.

THE MYSORE REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Budget Session - June 1936.

PROMISE.	Action Taken.
Some members brought to the notice of Government that the system of levying 3 pies on each cocoanut offered in addition to the collections made through the Golakas during the Rathothsavam ~~xxxxxxx~~ prevailed in the Sri Mallikarjuna Swami Temple at Yudukathore and prayed for the cancellation of the system. The First Member of Council stated that the Muzrai Commissioner would make inquiries into all matters and decide them in consultation with the Dharmadarsis.	

(Question No. 7)

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 281-Sm/1

To

The M.C

Sir.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

In continuation of this Office Letter No 1631/Secy/34-35-16 dated 28.7.1936, I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action and report, an extract from the minutes made by Govt during the last two days of the Proceedings of the R.A held in June 1936, regarding the levy of 3 kies on each Coconut tree in the Municipal limits of Jaffna.

Date of issue ... 21-8-36

Signed by ... Subbarayappa

for Secretary

enclosures .....

.....

.....

.....

by

WD 01956—GPB—22,590—12-6-34

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 28 Muz 1.

Dated, Bangalore, 21 - 8 - 1936

From

H. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 16[illegible]/Muz. 2[illegible]-35-16 dated the 28th July 1936, I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action and early report, an extract of the promise made by Government during the first two days of the meeting of the Representative Assembly held in June 1936, ~~requesting~~ regarding the levy of 3 pies on each cocoanut offered in the temple of Sri Mallikarjunaswami at Kudukutore, T. Narsipur Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Subbarayappa

for General Secretary to Government.

P.H.

M C 13.]
No. C.1432/35-36
Cancel one file.

GENL. & REV. SEC 668 13 JAN. 1937 MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

Bangalore,...11th January ...193 7

Mag 2(3 81 21

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY. 13 JAN. 1937

SIR,

Promise made by Government during Representative Assembly Session of June 1936 regarding the affairs of Mudukatore Temple.

With reference to your letter No.221/Muz. dated 31-3-1936 calling for a report of action taken in the matter of the levy of 3 pies on the cocoanut offered in the above temple, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.R.1141/35-36 dated 3-12-1936 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state as follows:-

2. The Dharmadarsis of the temple have stated that it is the custom for the devotees to contribute to the Golaka and also to pay the fee on cocoanut offered to the deity, the Golaka had been removed during the jatra period, that the levy of 3 pies on each cocoanut, sanctioned by this office, may be enforced and that this right may be sold by auction. The Deputy Commissioner has recommended sanction to the proposal of the Dharmadarsis.

3. The practice of recovering cocoanut fee in vogue in the Temples at Nanjangud, Melkote, Seringapatam, Devarayanadurga and other places. In all these places, Golakas are also maintained but they are not removed on jatra and other occasions. The dropping to the Golaka is at the option of the devotees and the payment of the cocoanut fee is compulsory. It is not clear why a different procedure has been prescribed for the Mudukatore temple by the Dharmadarsis.

4. It is said that Golaka is kept at the following places, viz., [illegible] and Ammanavaru Sannidhis and the entire collection [illegible]

the Thanadies. No such practice seems to prevail in any other taluk. The latter requires further investigation before the alleged right of the Thanadies can be recognised. There seems to be no objection to the co-existence of the Golaka and the right to levy fee on cocoanuts as in other taluks. If the Golaka is entirely removed during jatra and fee on cocoanut is enforced, it is likely that the Thanadies will lay claim to the entire realization or at least a major portion of it.

5. Pending investigation by the Deputy Commissioner of the alleged right of the Thanadies to the realisations from the Golaka, I have requested him to continue both the Golakas and the levy of cocoanut fee, the contribution to the former being purely optional and the latter being compulsory. I have also approved the proposal to sell the right of levying fees on cocoanut by public auction, as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner.

6. The connected papers are submitted herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Brahmachary.
Assistant Commissioner
for Huzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

klw

Letter No.H.1144/35-36 dated 3-1-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Re. requirements in connection with the jatra at Muduka tore.

In continuation of this office letter No.H.1144/35 dated 25th November 1935 on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the Dharmadarsi of Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Muduka tore have resolved on 14-11-35 that the Golaka at the temple may be removed during the jatra, that the levy of Rs.0-0-3 on every cocoanut may be enforced during the period from ಬಂಡಿಬಂಡಿಗೆ to ಹೆಳಿಕಿಕೆ and that the right of levying the said fee may be sold by auction. I request that sanction may kindly be accorded to the resolution of the Dharmadarsi.

The connected records accompany.

"COPY"

M. N. Ananda Rao
For Muzrai Commissioner.

klm

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No of letter replied to.....

Section May

File No. 263-35

Serial No. 22

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 27-1-1937.

Mr Mir Safdar Hussain

Signed by .....

Read— Extract of promise made by Government in the Representative Assembly during the Session held in June 1936 regarding the levy of a fee of 3 pies on each coconut offered during the Car festival in the Sri Kalikamba Bhavani Temple at Nidukatte

~~Order thereon.—~~ No. in T. Narasipura Taluk Correspondence ending with letter No C 1432/35-36 dated 11.1.37 from the M.C in Mysore submitting a ~~Report with his Remarks~~ about subject.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

2

Read 1032 4

The Chairman of the M.C.

temple are reported to have stated that as it is hard for the debotees to contribute to the Golaka and also to pay a fee on coconuts offered to the deity, the Golaka may be removed during the Jatra period and that the duty of 3 pies on each coconut, sanctioned by the M.C. may be enforced and that this right being be sold by public auction. The D.C. Ellore has recommended sanction to this proposal. It is further reported that the practice of collecting coconut fee is in vogue in the temples at Narsapur, Bhimavaram, Tadepalligudem, Devarapalli and other places. In all these places Golakas are also maintained and they are not removed during Jatras and other occasions. The money into the Golaka is optional on the part of the debotees. But the payment on coconuts coconut fee is compulsory.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

had [illegible] claim to the entire realisation or at least to a major portion of it. Pending investigations by the D.C. Mysore, of the alleged right of the Thanadis to the realisations from the Golaka the M.C has stated that he has requested him to continue both the Golaka and the levy of the fee on coconuts, the continuation of the former being purely optional and the latter being compulsory, and that he has also approved of the proposal to sell the right of collecting fees on coconuts by public auction, as recommended by the D.C. In these circumstances, Govt. are pleased to approve of the action taken by the M.C. His further report in the matter is awaited.

2/11/11
Secy to Govt.

To
The M.C
Cuddapah
&
D.C. Mysore

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Extract of promise made by Government in the Representative Assembly during the Session held in June 1936 regarding the levy of a fee of 3 pies on each coconut offered during the car festival in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Gudukatore, T. Narsipu Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.1432/36-36 dated 14th January 1937 from the Surrui Commissioner in Mysore submitting a report on the subject referred to above.

Order No. 1032-4/ Mcs 243-35-22

Dated, Bangalore the 27th January 1937.

The Dharmadarsis of the temple are reported to have stated that as it is hard for the devotees to contribute to the Golaka and also to pay a fee on coconuts offered to the deity, the Golaka may be removed during the Jatra and that the levy of 3 pies on each coconut sanctioned by the Sarkal Commissioner may be enforced till it is sold by public auction. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District has requested sanction to this proposal. It is further reported that the practice of recovering coconut fee is in vogue in the temples at Nanjangud, Melkote, Seringapatam, Devarayandurga and other places. In all these places Golakas are also maintained but they are not removed during Jatras and other occasions. The deposition of money into the Golaka is optional on the part of the devotees, but the payment of the fee on coconuts is compulsory. It is also stated that Golaka is kept at two places in the temple, viz., in Amman and Ammanavaru Sannidhis and the entire realisations thereon are also taken by the Thammadies. As no such practice seems to exist in any other temple the matter requires further investigation before the alleged right of the thammadies can be recognised. The matter

seems to be no objection to the co-existence of the Golaka and the levy of a fee on coconuts as in other temples. If the Golaka is entirely removed during Jatra no fee on coconut is enforced it is likely that the Thammadies may lay claim to the entire realisation or at least to a major portion of it. Pending investigation by the Deputy Commissioner, Kasargod District, of the alleged right of the Thammadies to the realisations from the Golaka the Musrai Commissioner has stated that he has requested the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to continue both the Golaka and the levy of the fee on coconuts, the contribution to the former being purely optional and the latter being compulsory and that he has also approved of the proposal to sell the right of levying fees on coconuts by public auction as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner. In these circumstances Government are pleased to approve of the action taken by the Musrai Commissioner. His further report in the matter is awaited.

Yours faithfully,

Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Musrai Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Agr/6.

No. C 1432/35-36.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

679
26. NOV. 1937
MYSORE

Bangalore, 23rd November 1937.

243-35—22

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
25. NOV. 1937

Right of Thammadies of Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Mudukatore, to the realizations from Golaka.

With reference to G.O.No.1032-4/Muz.243-35-22 dated 27-1-1937 regarding the investigation into the right of the Thammadies of the above temple, to the realizations from the Golaka, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.1144/35-36 dated 7-6-1937 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state as follows:-

2. From the records of enquiry received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter, it is seen that the right to the realizations from the Golaka by the Thammadies has been hereditary from a long time and that it has been conceded to them, as far back as 1849, by Major Montgomery (vide Authenticated Extract of 'From' Register of District Muzrai Officer for 17th July 1878-79, enclosed in the records). This right was further confirmed to the Thammadies during the Theppotsava by the then Ashtagram Division Commissioner's Order dated 12th June 1878 (vide un-authenticated copy of Endorsement No.136 dated 12th June 1878, granted to Thammadi Doddanalliah.) In their petition dated 14th February 1893 to the Taluk Office, the Thammadies have admitted that their right to the realizations from the Golaka extended to the period from the day of "Theppothsava to Parvatha Parishe" during the jatra time. The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, has issued orders in his No.165/31 dated 1-1-1932 that the Golaka realizations might be given to the Thammadies during the above period.

3. For the foregoing reasons, the Deputy Commissioner is of

[illegible] to return the right of
[illegible] obligations by the said period.

[illegible] Deputy Commissioner [illegible] request that sanc-
[illegible] may be obtained to his recommendation.

[illegible] enclosed list of items as enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. Arunachalam

Deputy Commissioner.

kmc

Letter No.H.1144/35-36 dated 7-6-37 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Alleged right of Thammadies to the realisations from Golaka of the temple at Nidukatore, T.Narasipur Taluk.

In continuation of this office D.O.No.H.1144/35-36 dated the 4th May 1937 on the above subject, I have the honor to submit herewith the records relating to the Golaka right of the Thammadies with the available documentary evidence in original produced by them, received with the Amildar's report thereon.

It is seen from the records that the right to enjoy the realisations of the Golaka by the Thammadies has been hereditary, and is in existence from immemorial; and this right is seen to have been confirmed on them as far back as the years 1849 by Major Montgomery (vide original order copy).

The Amildar reports that the period during which the Thammadies(right) exercise the right to the realisation from the Golaka was decided by the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, to be from the date of Teppotsava to the date of "Parwatha Parishe" during the jatra time. A copy of the Istmihar dated 14th February 1893 produced by the Thammadies in support of their right, bears testimony to this fact.

These facts are further confirmed by the Astyagram Division Commissioner, as could be seen from the unofficial copy of the endorsement granted to the Thammadies by the Astyagram Division Commissioner on 12th June 1878.

In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Thammadies may be permitted to retain the right of appropriating the realisations of the Golaka.

The records accompany.

"C O P Y"

M. N Ananthan

for Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mmy

File No. 243-35

Serial No. 24

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue ... 18th January 1938.

Signed by Mr. S. K. Venkatramana Iyer.

Read— G.O. No 1032-4 / Mmy 243-35-22 dated 27.1.1937 appealing the claim taken by the M.C regarding the levy of a fee of 3 pies on each Cocoanut offered during the car festival in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Nundakur

Order thereon.—No. 106 in T. Narsipur Tk. and stating that the further report of the M.C in the matter was awaited

Letter No C 1432/35-36 dated 23.11.1937 from the M.C in Mysore submitting his further report in the matter

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

regarding the levy of the commutation fee to the recognised temple during the car festival.

Put-

Order No. 998-1000

From the records of enquiry received,
with the report from the ~~District~~ D.C.,
~~Office~~ elsewhere, it is seen that the
~~right to~~ the sealesations from the
Galaka by the Thamvadies ~~has~~ have been enjoyed
~~from~~ from a long time,
~~and that it has been conceded~~
to them so far back as 1849 by ~~the~~ Major
Montgomery, Comm. of Ashtagram Doni. This
right was further confirmed
to the Thamvadies during the
Tepposthavam in June 1875.
by the Comm. of Ashtagram Doni.
The Thamvadies have also
admitted in their petition
~~dated 14.2.1882 to the Taluk Office~~ *List of Enclosure*
and
~~that their right to the sealesations applied~~
~~from the Galaka extended~~ to
the period from the days of "Tepposthava to
Parvatha parishe" during the
Tabu time. The Manjayard *Copied by*
Sub Division Officer has issued
orders in January 1882, that *Examined by*

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*

the Golaka realisations might be given to the Tharmadies during the slack period. ~~For these reasons~~, The D.C Mpwe is of opinion that the Tharmadies may be permitted to retain the ~~right to appropriate~~ the Golaka realisations ~~for~~ from the Golaka during the said period. The D.C has ~~suggested~~ this proposal. ~~In recommending this~~ In the circumstances referred to Govt. are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the D.C. This will however be subject to the condition ~~In this connection it should be made clear~~ understood among that ~~the~~ dropping karika into the Golakas is optional, The Thampis are likely to put pressure on the debatees to drop some karika by declaring those debatees who may not do so as ~~and showing~~ preference to those who do so. The Tharmadies and the

~~This may be unnecessary~~

~~The D.C should also see the Tahsildar and ascertain from him whether any complaints have been received in this connection~~

WP 9166—GPB—15,000—6-9-34

Talukdar Officers should be present near the Samadhis and see that this kind of Concessions not practised

Secretary
Govt Secretariat
4/1/38

To

The H. Chief Secretary
" Comptroller
" D.C. Ellore
Copy to R. A. Office

PROCEEDINGS OF THE [illegible]

Read -

Government Order No.1032-4/ uz.245-35-22 dated 27th January 1937 approving of the action taken by the Muzrai Commissioner in levying a fee of 3 pies on each cocoanut offered during the car festival in the Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple at Nagamangala in K.R.Pet Taluk and stating that the further report of the Muzrai Commissioner regarding the right of Dharmadarsis of the temple to the realization from Golaka during the jatra was awaited.

2. Letter No.C.1432/35-36 dated 23rd November 1937 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting his further report in the matter.

Order No. 998-1080/Muzy 243-35-24 Dated, Bangalore, 18th January 1938.

From the records of enquiry received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, it is seen that the realisations from the Golaka have been enjoyed by the Dharmadarsis for a long time and that their right to the realisations applied to the period from the day of "Teppothsava to Parvatha Parishe" during the Jatra time. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore is of opinion that the Dharmadarsis may be permitted to retain the realisations from the Golaka during the said period. The Muzrai Commissioner also supports this proposal. In the circumstances reported, Government are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner. This will however be subject to the express understanding that dropping kanike into the Golakas is optional. The Dharmadarsis and the Taluk officers should be present near the Golakas and see that no kind of coercion is practised on the devotees and no pressure is put on them by the Dharmadarsis to drop kanike into the Golakas.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

Personal Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records,
The Comptroller to Government,
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.